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1. INTRODUCTION & PURPOSE

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 It is a general legal and ethical principle that it is crucial to obtain valid, informed consent before starting treatment, undertaking a physical investigation, or providing personal care, for a person. This principle reflects the right of individuals to determine what happens to them, and is a fundamental part of good practice. A health professional (or other health or social care staff) who do not respect this principle may be liable both to legal action by the patient and to action by their professional body. Solent Healthcare (SH) is also likely to be liable for the actions of its staff.

1.1.2 Valid consent to treatment is therefore absolutely central in all forms of health and social care, from providing personal care to undertaking major surgery. Seeking consent is also a matter of common courtesy between health professionals and patients.

1.1.3 The Department of Health has issued a range of guidance documents on consent (see also Chapter 9 References), and these should be consulted for details of the law and good practice requirements on consent.

1.2 Purpose

1.2.1 This policy sets out the standards and procedures in SH which aim to ensure that health professionals are able to comply with the guidance. While this document is primarily concerned with healthcare, social care colleagues should also be aware of their obligations to obtain consent before providing certain forms of social care, such as those that involve touching the patient or client.

1.2.2 In addition this document provides guidance to ensure individuals and their human rights are respected and upheld.

2. SCOPE & DEFINITIONS

2.1 Scope

2.1.1 This policy applies to all directly and indirectly employed staff within SH and other persons working within the organisation in line with SH’s Equal Opportunities Policy. Solent Healthcare is the provider organisation hosted by NHS Southampton City (Southampton City Primary Care Trust). This policy is also recommended to Independent Contractors as good practice.

2.1.2 It sets out the standards and procedures in SH that have been put in place to ensure that health and social care professionals are aware of their obligations and are able to comply with statutory and professional guidance.

2.1.2 The policy does not cover the consent process for use of gametes, subsequent use of removed tissue, organ donation or participation in research and/or innovative treatment. The first three areas are not practised within SH.
The latter is managed through the Service Level Agreement with the Hampshire and Isle of Wight Comprehensive Local Research Network (HIOW CLRN) for Research Management and Governance.

2.2 Exceptions

2.2.1 Certain statutes set out specific exceptions to the principles noted in the following Sections. These are briefly noted below. Those concerned with the operation of such statutes should consult more detailed guidance.

2.2.2 Part 4 of the Mental Health Act 1983 (‘the 1983 Act’) sets out circumstances in which persons liable to be detained under the Act may be treated without consent for their mental disorder. The Mental Health Act Code of Practice offers guidance on consent and medical treatment in this context.

2.2.3 The Public Health (Control of Disease) Act 1984 provided that, on an order made by a magistrate, persons suffering from certain notifiable infectious diseases could be medically examined, removed to and detained in a hospital without their consent. A magistrate when ordering the detention of a person in a hospital could not order that a person undergo medical treatment. The treatment of such persons must be based on the common law principles previously described. The 1984 Act is now amended by the Health and Social Care Act 2008. Under part 2A there is express provision prohibiting regulations under new sections 45B or 45C from legislating for the administering of medical treatment by force. Nor will there be power for a magistrate to order compulsory treatment under new section 45G, which gives powers to magistrates to make orders in relation to persons who pose a threat to the health of others.

2.3 Definitions

- What is consent?
- Type of consent?
- Context of consent
- Legalities

2.3.1 What is Consent?

2.3.2 “Consent” is a patient’s agreement for a health professional to provide care. Patients may indicate consent non-verbally (for example by presenting their arm for their pulse to be taken), orally, or in writing. For the consent to be valid, the patient must:

- be competent to take the particular decision;
- have received sufficient information to take it; and
- not be acting under duress.

2.3.3 For consent to be valid, it must be given voluntarily by:

- an appropriately informed person who has the capacity to consent to the intervention in question. This will be the patient or someone with parental responsibility for a patient under the age of 18 (see Section 4 for guidance on assessment of capacity and for guidance in seeking consent in young people and children),
• someone authorised to do so under a Lasting Power of Attorney (LPA) Appendix 1 or
• someone who has the authority to make treatment decisions as a court appointed deputy.

Acquiescence, where the person does not know what the intervention entails, is not ‘consent’.

2.4 **Type of Consent**

  - Verbal and non verbal/implied
  - Voluntary
  - informed
  - Written

2.4.1 **Verbal and non-verbal/implied consent**

2.4.2 Consent may be expressed verbally or non-verbally: an example of non-verbal consent would be where a person, after receiving appropriate information, holds out an arm for their blood pressure to be taken. However, the person must have understood what examination or treatment is intended and why, for such consent to be valid.

2.4.3 **Voluntary consent**

2.4.4 For consent to be voluntary it must be given freely, without pressure or undue influence being exerted on the person either to accept or refuse treatment. Such pressure can come from partners or family members, as well as health or social care practitioners. Practitioners should be alert to this possibility and where appropriate should arrange to see the person on their own in order to establish that the decision is truly their own.

2.4.5 **Informed consent**

2.4.6 To give valid consent, the person needs to understand the nature and purpose of the procedure. Any misrepresentation of these elements will invalidate consent. The individual should be informed of any ‘material’ or ‘significant’ risks or unavoidable risks, even if small, in the proposed treatment; any alternatives to it; and the risks incurred by doing nothing. Where relevant, information about anaesthesia should be given alongside information about the procedure itself.

2.4.7 **Written Consent**

2.4.8 Written consent merely serves as evidence of consent: if the elements of voluntariness, appropriate information and capacity have not been satisfied, a signature on a form will not make the consent valid.

2.4.9 In addition, if a patient is rushed into signing a form, on the basis of too little information, the consent may not be valid, despite the signature.

2.4.10 It is rarely a legal requirement to seek written consent although The Mental Health Act 1983 and the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990 require written consent in certain circumstances. However; it is good practice to seek written consent if any of the following circumstances apply:

  - A surgical intervention is intended
  - The treatment or procedure is lengthy, complex, or involves significant risks
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those which some health professionals would describe as ‘side-effects’ or ‘complications’)

- The procedure involves general/regional anaesthesia or conscious sedation
- Providing clinical care is not the primary purpose of the procedure
- There may be significant consequences for the patient’s employment, social or personal life
- An individual is being asked to consent to participation in an audio or video recording (even if only minor procedures are involved).
- The treatment is part of a project or programme of research approved by this PCT

2.5 Context of Consent

2.5.1 The context of consent can take many different forms, ranging from the active request by a patient of a particular treatment (which may or may not be appropriate or available) to the passive acceptance of a health professional’s advice. In some cases, the health professional will suggest a particular form of treatment or investigation and after discussion the patient may agree to accept it. In others, there may be a number of ways of treating a condition, and the health professional will help the patient to decide between them. Some patients, especially those with chronic conditions, become very well informed about their illness and may actively request particular treatments. In many cases, ‘seeking consent’ is better described as ‘joint decision-making’: the patient and health professional need to come to an agreement on the best way forward, based on the patient’s values and preferences and the health professional’s clinical knowledge.

2.5.2 Where an adult patient lacks the mental capacity (either temporarily or permanently) to give or withhold consent for themselves, no-one else can give consent on their behalf. However, treatment may be given if it is in their best interests, as long as it has not been refused in advance in a valid and applicable advance decision. For further details on advance decision see the Department of Health’s Reference guide to consent for examination or treatment (Section 1, paragraph 19), Advance Decisions To Refuse Treatment Policy and Section 4 within this document.

2.6 Legalities

2.6.1 While there is no English statute setting out the general principles of consent, case law (‘common law’) has established that touching a patient without valid consent may constitute the civil or criminal offence of battery. Further, if healthcare professionals (or other health or social care staff) fail to obtain proper consent and the patient subsequently suffers harm as a result of treatment, this may be a factor in a claim of negligence against the member of staff involved and/or against Solent Healthcare. Poor handling of the consent process may also result in complaints from patients through the NHS complaints procedure or to professional bodies.

2.6.2 Case law on consent has evolved significantly over recent years. Further legal developments may occur after this policy has been ratified, and all healthcare practitioners must remember their duty to keep themselves informed of legal developments that may have a bearing on their practice.
2.6.3 The Human Rights Act 1998 came into force in October 2000, giving further effect in the UK to the rights enshrined in the European Convention on Human Rights. The main articles that are likely to be relevant in medical case law are Article 2 (protection of the right to life), Article 3 (prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment), Article 5 (the right to liberty and security), Article 8 (the right to respect for private and family life), Article 9 (freedom of thought, conscience and religion and Article 14 (prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of Convention rights).

2.6.4 Compliance with the Human Rights Act is largely reflected in existing good ethical practice, but all health practitioners should be aware of the Human Rights Act and ensure that they act in compliance with it. The British Medical Association (BMA) has a handbook of ethics and law that gives advice on how the Human Rights Act relates to a range of relevant issues.

2.6.5 The Mental Capacity Act 2005, which came fully into force on 1 October 2007, sets out a statutory framework for making treatment decisions for people who lack the capacity to make such decisions themselves. The Act establishes overarching statutory principles governing these decisions, setting out who can make them and when. It sets out the legal requirements for assessing whether or not a person lacks the capacity to make a decision. This is a complex area of practice; application of the act and the standard of practice related to it with regard to consent is covered in detail in Section 3.

2.6.6 There are circumstances when an individual who is under the legal of age of consent may be deemed competent to give consent in the absence of a parent or guardian with parental responsibility. Again this is a complex area of practice entailing an assessment of Fraser or Gillick competence in addition to other considerations all of which require specific expertise. The standard of practice required by SH practitioners is covered in detail in Section 3.

3. PROCESS/REQUIREMENTS
The Department of Health is currently undertaking a review of consent in the NHS which will not only identify and evaluate the NHS approach to and practice on gaining consent but which will also evaluate the impact on practice of existing DoH guidance and forms. Importantly, the review will also be exploring how quality for consent can best be developed, enhanced and embedded across the NHS.

3.1 Introduction
3.1.1 Although completion of a consent form is in most cases not a legal requirement (exceptions include certain requirements of the Mental Health Act 1983 and of the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 1990 as amended by the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008) the use of such forms is good practice where an intervention such as surgery is to be undertaken. Where there is any doubt about the person’s capacity, it is important, before

---

1 BMA. 2009. Medical Ethics Today - The BMA's handbook of ethics and law, second edition
the person is asked to sign the form, to establish both that they have the capacity to consent to the intervention and that they have received enough information to enable valid consent to be given. Details of the assessment of capacity, and the conclusion reached, should be recorded in the case notes.

3.1.2 If an individual is unable to read or write, they may be able to make their mark on a form to indicate consent. It would be good practice for the mark to be witnessed by a person other than the clinician seeking consent, and for the fact that the person has chosen to make their mark in this way to be recorded in the case notes.

3.2 Provision of Information

3.2.1 The provision of information is central to the consent process. Before patients can come to a decision about treatment, they need comprehensible information about their condition and about possible treatments/investigations and their risks and benefits (including the risks/benefits of doing nothing). Discussion of the risks is vital and evidence of this discussion will be requested in the defence of any Clinical Negligence claim. They also need to know whether additional procedures are likely to be necessary as part of the procedure, for example a blood transfusion, or the removal of particular tissue. Once a decision to have a particular treatment/investigation has been made, patients need information about what will happen: where to go, how long they will be in hospital, how they will feel afterwards and so on.

3.2.2 Patients and those close to them will vary in how much information they want: from those who want as much detail as possible to those who ask health professionals to make decisions for them. There will always be an element of clinical judgement in determining what information should be given. However, the presumption must be that the patient wishes to be well informed about the risks and benefits of the various options. Where the patient makes clear (verbally or non-verbally) that they do not wish to be given this level of information, this should be documented.

3.2.3 All patient information developed by services within Solent Healthcare must be sent in draft form to, the Communications Team, for assessment of readability and corporate style and also for information regarding converting the printed information to Braille. They can be contacted at Adelaide House, tel: 023 8060 8900. Please refer to the Patient Information Policy for further information.

3.3 Provision for patients whose first language is not English

3.3.1 Solent Healthcare is committed to ensuring that patients whose first language is not English receive the information they need and are able to communicate appropriately with healthcare staff. It is not appropriate to use children to interpret for family members who do not speak English.

3.3.2 For patients who require the services of an interpreter for any information regarding consent, or the translation of written information or tape recordings to be made in a different language, contact Access to Communication, Tel: 023 8024 1300.
3.4 Access to more detailed or specialist information

3.4.1 Patients may sometimes request more detailed information about their condition or about a proposed treatment than that provided in general leaflets. SH patients should be guided towards the following facilities to assist patients to obtain such information:

- Patient Experience and Engagement Manager on tel: 023 80 608933
- NHS Direct Online

3.4.2 The Patient Experience and Engagement Service provide a confidential service, acting as a ‘gateway’ to independent expert guidance and on the spot advice. They will give advice on navigating NHS services and departments and finding the right pathway for the patient. They will help to explain what to do, who to contact and how to access a service. They are able to provide information on local NHS, social care services, voluntary sector organisations and national and local self help groups. They will provide this information or signpost the patient to it, thereby enabling a pathway between NHS care and general well-being.

3.5 Access to health professionals between formal appointments

3.5.1 After an appointment with a health professional in primary care or in outpatients, patients will often think of further questions which they would like answered before they make their decision. Where possible, it will be much quicker and easier for the patient to contact the healthcare team by phone, than to make another appointment or to wait until the date of an elective procedure (by which time it is too late for the information genuinely to affect the patient’s choice). Services should ensure that, where possible, contact details are available for patients to be able to access further information about their condition or treatment.

3.6 Open access clinics

3.6.1 Where patients access clinics directly, it should not be assumed that their presence at the clinic implies consent to particular treatment. You should ensure that they have the information they need before proceeding with an investigation or treatment.

3.6.2 In addition, a vast amount of information is now available on the Internet and the practitioner will guide the patient in the use of recommended patient information sites. These may include:

- www.nhsdirect.nhs.uk
- www.library.nhs.uk
- www.patientconcern.org.uk
- www.dh.gov.uk/consent
- www.ceres.org.uk

3.7 When Should Consent be Sought?

3.7.1 The seeking and giving of consent is usually a process, rather than a one-off event.

3.7.2 When a patient formally gives their consent to a particular intervention, this is only the endpoint of the consent process. Clinicians should consider the whole
process of information provision, discussion, decision-making and documentation as part of ‘seeking consent’. This process may take place at one time, or over a series of meetings and discussions, depending on the seriousness of what is proposed and the urgency of the patient’s condition.

3.8 **Single stage process:**

3.8.1 In many cases, it will be appropriate for a health professional to initiate a procedure immediately after discussing it with the patient. For example, during an ongoing episode of care a physiotherapist may suggest a particular manipulative technique and explain how it might help the patient’s condition and whether there are any significant risks. If the patient is willing for the technique to be used, they will then give their consent and the procedure can go ahead immediately. In many such cases, consent will be given orally.

3.9 **Two or more stage process:**

3.9.1 For major interventions and procedures that carry significant risks a consent form should be used to document the process (see appendix 4 – 7). Clinicians should, whenever possible, seek the person’s consent to the proposed procedure well in advance. It is essential to allow sufficient time for a) the patient to absorb the information necessary for them to make their decision and b) the clinician to respond to the person’s questions and provide adequate information.

3.9.2 **Stage 1** Stage 1 should consist of an initial (oral) decision that includes the provision of information, discussion of options, potential outcomes and complications. This discussion should be undertaken using terminology that the patient can understand.

3.9.3 **Stage 2** The second stage should be undertaken closer to the start of the procedure; the patient should be given the opportunity to discuss the procedure again, to confirm that they still wish to go ahead and give their consent.

3.9.4 In no circumstances should a person be given routine pre-operative medication before being asked for their consent to proceed with the treatment.

3.10 **Duration of consent**

3.10.1 When a person gives valid consent to an intervention, in general that consent remains valid for an indefinite duration, unless it is withdrawn by the person. However, if new information becomes available regarding the proposed intervention (for example new evidence of risks or new treatment options) between the time when consent was sought and when the intervention is undertaken, a doctor or member of the healthcare team should inform the patient and reconfirm their consent. The clinician should also consider whether the new information should be drawn to the attention of the patient and the process of seeking consent repeated on the basis of this information. Similarly, if the patient’s condition has changed significantly in the intervening time it may be necessary to seek consent again, on the basis that the likely benefits and/or risks of the intervention may also have changed.
3.10.2 If consent has been obtained a significant time before undertaking the intervention, it is good practice to confirm that the person who has given consent (assuming that they retain capacity) still wishes the intervention to proceed, even if no new information needs to be provided or further questions answered.

3.11 Restricted Consent
3.11.1 A patient has the right to give restricted consent, which in reality gives patients the ability to list any intervention which is not acceptable, without further specific consent. Restricted consent may involve patients wishing to consider further such procedures / treatments as progressing to major surgery following preliminary biopsy, or, in the case of Jehovah’s Witnesses, blood transfusion.

3.12 Refusal of Consent
3.12.1 If an adult with capacity makes a voluntary and appropriately informed decision to refuse treatment (whether contemporaneously or in advance), this decision must be respected, except in certain circumstances as defined by the Mental Health Act 1983. This is the case even where this may result in the death of the person (and/or the death of an unborn child, whatever the stage of the pregnancy). Refusal of treatment by those under the age of 18 is covered in paragraph 3.19.

3.12.2 The situation for children is more complex: see the Department of Health’s Seeking consent: working with children for more detail. The following paragraphs apply primarily to adults.

3.12.3 If, after discussion of possible treatment options, a patient refuses all treatment, this fact should be clearly documented in their notes. If the patient has already signed a consent form, but then changes their mind, you (and where possible the patient) should note this on the form.

3.12.4 Where a patient has refused a particular intervention, you must ensure that you continue to provide any other appropriate care to which they have consented. You should also ensure that the patient realises they are free to change their mind and accept treatment if they later wish to do so. Where delay may affect their treatment choices, they should be advised accordingly.

3.12.5 If a patient consents to a particular procedure but refuses certain aspects of the intervention, you must explain to the patient the possible consequences of their partial refusal. If you genuinely believe that the procedure cannot be safely carried out under the patient’s stipulated conditions, you are not obliged to perform it. You must, however, continue to provide any other appropriate care. Where another health professional believes that the treatment can be safely carried out under the conditions specified by the patient, you must on request be prepared to transfer the patient’s care to that health professional. You must clearly document all discussions and decision making in the patient record.
3.13 **Withdrawal of Consent**

3.13.1 A person with capacity is entitled to withdraw consent at any time, including during the performance of a procedure. Where a person does object during treatment, it is good practice for the practitioner, if at all possible, to stop the procedure, establish the person’s concerns and explain the consequences of not completing the procedure. At times, an apparent objection may in fact be a cry of pain rather than withdrawal of consent, and appropriate reassurance may enable the practitioner to continue with the person’s consent. If stopping the procedure at that point would genuinely put the life of the person at risk, the practitioner may be entitled to continue until that risk no longer applies.

3.13.2 Assessing capacity during a procedure may be difficult and, as noted above, factors such as pain, panic and shock may diminish capacity to consent. The practitioner should try to establish whether at that time the person has capacity to withdraw a previously given consent. If capacity is lacking, it may sometimes be justified to continue in the person’s best interests, but this must not be used as an excuse to ignore distress or a valid withdrawal of consent.

3.14 **Mental Health Act 1983 (amended 2007) and Consent**

3.14.1 It is important to note that if an individual is detained under a section of the Mental Health Act, Part 4 or Part 4A (Consent to Treatment) will apply. However; the Mental Health Act only allows for treatment that the purpose of which is to alleviate, or prevent a worsening of, the mental disorder or one or more of its symptoms or manifestations. This policy should be followed for any treatment that falls outside of the Mental Health Act.

3.15 **Mental Capacity Act 2005 and Consent**

3.15.1 Under the terms of the 2005 Mental Capacity Act, all adults are presumed to have sufficient capacity to decide on their own medical treatment unless there is significant evidence to suggest otherwise. For further guidance see the Deprivation of Liberty and Mental Capacity Act policy. There are five statutory principles that must be followed when making any decisions under the Mental Capacity Act.

- A person must be assumed to have capacity unless it is established that they lack capacity.
- A person is not to be treated as unable to make a decision unless all practicable steps to help him to do so have been taken without success.
- A person is not to be treated as unable to make a decision merely because he makes an unwise decision.
- An act done or decision made, under this Act for or on behalf of a person who lacks capacity must be done, or made, in his best interests.
- Before the act is done, or the decision is made, regard must be had to whether the purpose for which it is needed can be as effectively achieved in a way that is less restrictive of the person’s rights and freedom of action.

3.15.2 The test for capacity has two parts:

1. A person’s mind, or brain, is impaired or disturbed, and
2. The impairment or disturbance means that the person is unable to make a decision at the current time.

3.15.3 Examples of impairments or disturbances in the mind or brain include:

- mental health conditions, such as schizophrenia or bi-polar disorder (manic depression),
- dementia,
- serious learning disabilities,
- long-term effects of brain damage,
- physical or mental conditions that cause confusion, drowsiness, or a loss of consciousness,
- delirium, and
- intoxication caused by drug or alcohol abuse.

3.15.4 Someone is thought to be unable to make a decision if they cannot:

- understand information about the decision,
- remember that information,
- use that information as part of their decision-making process, or
- communicate their decision by talking, using sign language, or by any other means.

3.15.5 If someone makes a decision about treatment that most people would consider to be irrational, it does not necessarily mean they lack capacity. It is the process they go through in reaching the decision that is important. The capacity test always needs to be applied and a person can only be said to lack capacity if they have an impairment described in 3.15.2 and are unable to do one of the four tests in 3.15.4.

3.15.6 It is possible for capacity to fluctuate. In such cases, it is good practice to establish, while the person has capacity, their views about any clinical intervention that may be necessary during a period of anticipated incapacity and to record these views. It should also be asked if a decision can be made at a time when they have capacity.

3.15.7 Where an adult patient lacks the mental capacity (either temporarily or permanently) to give or withhold consent for them, unless there is a valid lasting power of attorney authorising them to or they have been appointed by the courts as a deputy authorised to make that decision; even then, they must undertake their role in line with The Mental Capacity Act Code of Practice.

However, treatment may be given if it is in their best interests, as long as it has not been refused in a valid and applicable advance decision to refuse treatment (reference guide Section 2, 47-52)\(^2\), it does not go against the decision of an attorney where a Lasting Power of Attorney covers that decision.

---

\(^2\) The Act allows people to plan ahead for a time when they may not have the capacity to make their own decisions: it allows them to appoint a personal welfare attorney to make health and social care decisions, including medical treatment, on their behalf or to make an advance decision to refuse medical treatment. Health and social care practitioners practicing in fields where the mental capacity act is likely to impact on their practice must ensure that they are fully conversant with its content and practical implications of the act for practice.
(reference guide Section 2, 14-16), a decision of The Court of Protection (DH July 2009 Section 2, 25-30) or a Court Appointed Deputy appointed to decide on that decision (DH July 2009 Section 2, 17-20).

3.15.8 In a situation where a patient lacks capacity certain treatments should automatically be referred to The Court of Protection. These are:

- decisions about the proposed withholding or withdrawal of artificial nutrition and hydration (ANH) from patients in a permanent vegetative state (PVS)
- cases involving organ, bone marrow or peripheral blood stem cell donation by an adult who lacks the capacity to consent.
- cases involving the proposed non-therapeutic sterilisation of a person who lacks the capacity to consent to this (e.g. for contraceptive purposes), and
- all other cases where there is a doubt or dispute about whether a particular treatment will be in a person’s best interests

3.15.9 Guidance is also available in the Mental Capacity Act (2005) Code of Practice.

3.15.10 Under the Mental Capacity Act 2005, a person may wish to make an advance decision to refuse treatment or a statement of their preferences and wishes. Patients are able to give a Lasting Power of Attorney (LPA) to individuals. Under this legislation, an LPA in relation to the patient’s personal welfare can extend to giving or refusing consent to the carrying out or continuation of treatment, but will only extend to life-sustaining treatment if that is expressly contained in the LPA. The views of a person appointed under a Lasting Power of Attorney with regard to healthcare decisions will have legal effect. An Advance Directive/Decision is not valid if the Lasting Power of Attorney was created after the Advance Decision. The trust policy on advance decisions to refuse treatment should be referred to for further guidance.

3.15.11 If the person has not made a valid and applicable advance decision; decisions about that person’s treatment if they lack capacity must be made in accordance with the Mental Capacity Act. This would include considering whether the person is likely to regain capacity and, if so, whether the decision can wait, as well as the statutory principle that all practical steps must be taken to enable the person to make their own decision.

3.15.12 Where an adult patient does not have the capacity to give or withhold consent to a significant intervention, this fact should be documented in form 4 (form for adults who are unable to consent to investigation or treatment Appendix 7), along with the assessment of the patient’s capacity, why the health professional believes the treatment to be in the patient’s best interests, and the involvement of people close to the patient. Reference should also be made to the Deprivation of Liberty and Mental Capacity Act Policy. The process to be followed in assessing and recording capacity and best interest issues will depend on the complexity of the decision, any disagreement about whether it is in the person’s best interest and the risks involved. The standard

---

consent forms should never be used for adult patients unable to consent for themselves. For more minor interventions, this information should be entered in the patient’s notes.

3.15.13 An apparent lack of capacity to give or withhold consent may in fact be the result of communication difficulties rather than genuine incapacity. You should involve appropriate colleagues in making such assessments of incapacity, such as specialist learning disability teams; speech and language therapist, unless the urgency of the patient’s situation prevents this. If at all possible, the patient should be assisted to make and communicate their own decision, for example by providing information in non-verbal ways where appropriate.

3.15.14 Occasionally, there will not be a consensus on whether a particular treatment is in an incapacitated adult’s best interests. The Deprivation of Liberty and Mental Capacity Act policy should be referred to in these situations. Where the consequences of having, or not having, the treatment is potentially serious, a court declaration may be sought. See Appendix 8 for details of how to do this.

3.16 Independent Mental Capacity Advocates (IMCA)

3.16.1 The Mental Capacity Act has introduced a duty on NHS bodies to instruct an IMCA in serious medical treatment decisions when a person who lacks capacity to make a decision has no one who can speak for them, other than paid staff. In matters that meet the definition of serious medical treatment, IMCAs are only able to represent and support people whose treatment is arranged by the NHS. They have the right to information about an individual and can see relevant healthcare records.

3.16.2 IMCAs are not decision-makers for the person who lacks capacity. They are there to support and represent that person and to ensure that decision-making for people who lack capacity is done appropriately and in accordance with the Mental Capacity Act. For more information on IMCAs see Mental Capacity Act and Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards policy – see notes in Appendix 7.

3.17 Advanced Decisions to refuse Treatment

3.17.1 An advance directive gives patients the legal right to give or withhold consent to specific medical treatments prospectively. Some people with certain health conditions may have periods when they are capable, and periods when they are incapable. In such circumstances, a person can make a ‘living will’ or ‘advanced directive’, stating how they would like to be treated, or not treated, in case of future incapacity.

3.17.2 Where this involves the refusal of treatment it has a specific authority under The Mental Capacity Act and is defined as an advance decision to refuse treatment. A valid and applicable advance decision to refuse treatment has the same force as a contemporaneous decision to refuse treatment.

3.17.3 If a person specifically states in their ‘advanced directive’ that they do not want to undergo a particular treatment, this is legally binding unless they are being held under the Mental Health Act at the time of making the decision or at the time of potential treatment.
3.17.4 Advanced decisions, interpretation and implications for clinical practice are covered in detail in Solent Healthcares ‘Advance Decisions to Refuse Treatment Policy’ and South Central Policy for Do Not Resuscitate (DNR). In addition, the British Medical Association (2007) has also issued guidance on the current legal position on advance directives.4

3.18 Treatment of children and young people

3.18.1 For the purposes of this guidance ‘children’ refers to people aged below 16 and ‘young people’ refers to people aged 16–17.

3.18.2 The legal position concerning consent and refusal of treatment by those under the age of 18 is different from the position for adults.

3.18.3 When infants or young children are being cared for in hospital, it will not usually seem practicable to seek their parents’ consent on every occasion for every routine intervention such as blood or urine tests or X-rays. However, you should remember that, in law, such consent is required. Where a child is admitted, you should therefore discuss with their parent(s) what routine procedures will be necessary, and ensure that you have their consent for these interventions in advance. If parents specify that they wish to be asked before particular procedures are initiated, you must do so, unless the delay involved in contacting them would put the child’s health at risk.

3.18.4 Only people with ‘parental responsibility’ are entitled to give consent on behalf of their children. You must be aware that not all parents have parental responsibility for their children (for example, unmarried fathers do not automatically have such responsibility although they can acquire it). If you are in any doubt about whether the person with the child has parental responsibility for that child, you must check. Advice on “parental responsibility” can be found on the reverse of consent form 2, Appendix 5.

3.18.5 By virtue of section 8 of the Family Law Reform Act 1969, people aged 16 or 17 are presumed to be capable of consenting to their own medical treatment and any ancillary procedures involved in that treatment such as an anaesthetic. As for adults, consent will be valid only if it is given voluntarily by an appropriately informed young person capable of consenting to the particular intervention. However, unlike adults, the refusal of a competent person aged 16–17 may in certain circumstances be overridden by either a person with parental responsibility or a court.

3.18.6 Section 8 of the Family Law Reform Act 1969 applies only to the young person’s own treatment. It does not apply to an intervention that is not potentially of direct health benefit to the young person, such as blood donation or non-therapeutic research on the causes of a disorder. However, a young person may be able to consent to such an intervention under the standard of Gillick competence, also referred to as the Fraser Guidelines.

3.18.7 In order to establish whether a young person aged 16 or 17 has the requisite capacity to consent to the proposed intervention, the same criteria as for

---

4 British Medical Association. 2007. Advance decisions and proxy decision-making in medical treatment and research. BMA. London
adults should be used (see the DH ‘Reference Guide to Consent for Examination or Treatment 2nd Edition’, Sections 1 and 2). If a young person lacks capacity to consent because of an impairment of, or a disturbance in the functioning of, the mind or brain then the Mental Capacity Act 2005 will apply in the same way as it does to those who are 18 and over. If, however, they are unable to make the decision for some other reason, for example because they are overwhelmed by the implications of the decision, then the Act will not apply to them and the legality of any treatment should be assessed under common law principles. It may be unclear whether a young person lacks capacity within the meaning of the Act. In those circumstances, it would be prudent to seek a declaration from the court. More information on how the Act applies to young people is given in Section 12 of the Mental Capacity Act (2005) Code of Practice.

3.18.8 If the 16/17-year-old is capable of giving valid consent then it is not legally necessary to obtain consent from a person with parental responsibility for the young person in addition to the consent of the young person. It is, however, good practice to involve the young person’s family in the decision-making process, unless the young person specifically wishes to exclude them, if the young person consents to their information being shared.

3.18.9 A child of under 16 may be Gillick competent to consent to medical treatment, research, donation or any other activity that requires their consent. The ‘Reference Guide to Consent for Examination or Treatment 2nd Edition’ should be consulted for further guidance with regard to Gillick competence.

3.18.10 In the case of Gillick, the court held that children who have sufficient understanding and intelligence to enable them to understand fully what is involved in a proposed intervention will also have the capacity to consent to that intervention. This is sometimes described as being ‘Gillick competent’.

3.18.11 If the child is Gillick competent and is able to give voluntary consent after receiving appropriate information, that consent will be valid and additional consent by a person with parental responsibility will not be required. It is, however, good practice to involve the child’s family in the decision-making process, if the child consents to their information being shared.

3.18.12 Where advice or treatment relates to contraception, or the child’s sexual or reproductive health, the healthcare professional should try to persuade the child to inform his or her parent(s), or allow the medical professional to do so. If however the child cannot be persuaded, advice and/or treatment should still be given if the healthcare professional considers that the child is very likely to begin or continue to have sexual intercourse with or without advice or treatment, and that unless they receive the advice or treatment then the child’s physical or mental health is likely to suffer.

3.18.13 If the child seeks advice or treatment in relation to abortion and cannot be persuaded to inform her parent(s), every effort should be made to help the child find another adult (such as another family member or a specialist youth worker) to provide support to the child. Practitioners should also refer to the
3.18.14 It would be seen as good practice to involve parents along with young people when decisions are being made, however this needs to be weighed against the young persons right to confidential treatment. Where the child under 16 lacks the capacity to consent to treatment consent should be sought by someone with parental responsibility or by the court.

3.18.15 Clear documentation is imperative, including how a decision was reached regarding whether a young person is Gillick Competent or not and whether the person with parental responsibility has been involved in the consent process.

3.19 Refusal of treatment by those under the age of 18

3.19.1 If faced with a situation where a young person is refusing treatment, parents (those with parental responsibility) may still decide what is in their child’s best interest and consent on their behalf until they are 18 years old – see Appendix 5 and 6. Attempts should be made to resolve this situation involving both the parents and young person, with consideration for the least restrictive methods available.

3.19.2 Where a young person of 16 or 17 who could consent to treatment in accordance with section 8 of the Family Law Reform Act 1969, or a child under 16 but Gillick competent, refuses treatment, it is possible that such a refusal could be overruled if it would in all probability lead to the death of the child/young person or to severe permanent injury. This could be by a court, an adult with parental responsibility or under the Mental Health Act 1983. The ‘Reference Guide to Consent for Examination or Treatment 2nd Edition’ should be consulted for further guidance.

3.19.3 In serious or complex situations a court may be asked to make a decision.

3.20 Child Lacking Capacity

3.20.1 Where a child under the age of 16 lacks capacity to consent (i.e is not Gillick competent), consent can be given on their behalf by any one person with parental responsibility (if the matter is within the ‘zone of parental control’) or by the court. As is the case where patients are giving consent for themselves, those giving consent on behalf of child patients must have the capacity to consent to the intervention in question, be acting voluntarily and be appropriately informed. The power to consent must be exercised according to the ‘welfare principle’: that the child’s ‘welfare’ or ‘best interests’ must be paramount. Even where a child lacks capacity to consent on their own behalf, it is good practice to involve the child as much as possible in the decision-making process.

3.20.1 If there are child protection and safeguarding concerns then the organisations safeguarding children policy should be consulted. If there are disputes between professionals and parents over what is in a child’s best interest then in some instances the courts will need to be involved. In some cases the
significance and consequences of the decision itself will mean the court should be involved.

3.20.2 Other safeguarding concerns may be raised within local safeguarding boards. Advice can be sought from named and designated professionals.

3.21 Child Emergencies
3.21.1 In an emergency, it is justifiable to treat a child who lacks capacity without the consent of a person with parental responsibility, if it is impossible to obtain consent in time and if the treatment is vital to the survival or health of the child.

3.22 Treatment of children and young people who are “looked after” in local authority care
3.22.1 Section 3(5) of the Children Act 1989 states that a person who does not have parental responsibility for a child, but has de facto care if the child, may do what is reasonable in all circumstances for the purpose of safeguarding or promoting the child’s welfare. It can rarely be reasonable for a temporary carer to give consent without consulting the parents.

3.22.2 When children are accommodated (section 20) by the local authority it is the local authorities responsibility to obtain parental consent to medical treatment, since parents retain full responsibility.

3.22.3 Children who are subject to a care order (section 31); parents share parental responsibility with the local authority. It is a matter of negotiation between them who should give consent. The local authority should make attempts to consult with the parents and their consent sought.

3.22.4 In an emergency when the doctor or health care provider is not able to obtain valid consent, they may provide the treatment if they believe it is in the best interest of the child. Comprehensive documentation is advised.

3.23 Documenting Consent
3.23.1 It will not usually be necessary to document a patient’s consent to routine and low-risk procedures, such as providing personal care or taking a blood sample. However, if you have any reason to believe that the consent may be disputed later or if the procedure is of particular concern to the patient it may be advisable to document patients consent.

3.23.2 Consent to treatment should be documented for more invasive treatments/investigations. It should be documented in the patient record that the intervention/treatment or investigation was discussed and included the probable outcomes, possible complications or side effects (if relevant) and that the patient gave consent. Whenever possible this should be completed contemporaneously.

3.23.4 Each SH service should identify the procedures for which they require practitioners to document the consent process.
3.23.5 For patients whose first language is not English it is recommended to record the language in which the interpreting was undertaken, in order to avoid a scenario where “the wrong language was used”.

3.23.6 Recording the interpreter’s organisation of employment is desirable in order that ‘Access to Communication’ may use this information and address any unmet languages, thereby reducing the need for independent interpreting agencies.

3.23.7 All clinicians should be made aware of the documentation processes in respect of all type of consent.

3.24 Consent Forms

3.24.1 The standard consent form provides space for a health professional to provide information to patients and to sign confirming that they have done so. The health professional providing the information must be competent to do so: either because they themselves carry out the procedure, or because they have received specialist training in advising patients about this procedure, have been assessed, are aware of their own knowledge limitations and are subject to audit. This training must be given by the person competent to undertake the procedure themselves and as such is service-specific and is organised at service level when required.

3.24.2 Local standard operating procedures should be developed for health professionals who obtain consent but do not carry out the procedure. Details should include;

- The process for identifying staff who are not capable of performing a procedure but are authorised to obtain consent for that procedure
- The process for the delivery of procedure specific training on consent, for staff to whom the consent process is delegated and who are not capable of performing the procedure needs to be included e.g. TOP.
- Identify those members of staff who obtain consent for procedures they are incapable of performing
- Specific training they have received to ensure they are able to give the correct information to the patient.

3.24.3 Standard consent forms and forms for adults who are unable to consent for themselves are reproduced in Appendices 4 to 7 and are available for download from the DoH website. Please refer to section 3.27 for consent to Audio Visual recording.

- Consent Form 1. Patient consent to investigation or treatment
- Consent Form 2. Parental agreement to investigation or treatment for a child or young person
- Consent Form 3. Patient/Parental consent to investigation or treatment (procedures where consciousness not impaired)
- Consent Form 4. For adults who are unable to consent to investigation or treatment
3.24.4 In most cases where written consent is being sought, treatment options will generally be discussed well in advance of the actual procedure being carried out.

3.24.5 Patients receiving elective treatment or investigations for which written consent is appropriate should be familiar with the contents of their consent form before they arrive for the actual procedure, and should have received a copy of the page documenting the decision-making process.

3.24.6 Patients may be invited to sign the form, confirming that they wish treatment to go ahead, at any appropriate point before the procedure. If the patient signs the form in advance of the procedure (for example in out-patients or at a pre-assessment clinic), a health professional involved in their care on the day should sign the form to confirm that the patient still wishes to go ahead and has had any further questions answered. It will be appropriate for any member of the healthcare team (for example a nurse admitting the patient for an elective procedure) to provide the second signature, as long as they have access to appropriate colleagues to answer questions they cannot handle themselves. If this access to advice is not available the procedure should not go ahead until someone with appropriate expertise can answer the questions posed by the patient.

3.24.7 This is particularly important where there has been a significant lapse of time between the form being signed and the procedure. When confirming the patient’s consent and understanding, a form of words should be used which requires more than a yes/no answer from the patient: for example “tell me what you’re expecting to happen”, rather than “is everything all right?”

3.24.8 While administrative arrangements will vary, it should always be remembered that for consent to be valid, the patient must feel that it would have been possible for them to refuse, or change their mind. It will rarely be appropriate to ask a patient to sign a consent form after they have begun to be prepared for treatment (for example, by changing into a hospital gown), unless this is unavoidable because of the urgency of the patient’s condition.

3.24.9 Completed forms should be kept with the patient’s notes. Any changes to a form, made after the form has been signed by the patient, should be initialled and dated by both patient and health professional.

3.24.10 For significant procedures, it is essential for health professionals to document clearly both a patient’s agreement to the intervention and the discussions which led up to that agreement. This may be done either through the use of a consent form (with further detail in the patient’s notes if necessary), or through documenting in the patient’s notes that they have given oral consent.

3.25 Seeking consent for anaesthesia

3.25.1 Where an anaesthetist is involved in a patient’s care, it is their responsibility to seek consent for anaesthesia, having discussed the benefits and risks. However, in elective treatment it is not acceptable for the patient to receive no information about anaesthesia until their pre-operative visit from the anaesthetist: at such a late stage the patient will not be in a position genuinely
to make a decision about whether or not to undergo anaesthesia. Patients should therefore either receive a general leaflet about anaesthesia in out-patients, or have the opportunity to discuss anaesthesia in a pre-assessment clinic. The anaesthetist should ensure that the discussion with the patient and their consent is documented in the anaesthetic record, in the patient's notes or on the consent form.

3.25.2 Where the clinician undertaking the procedure is personally responsible for anaesthesia (e.g. where local anaesthesia or conscious sedation is being used), then he or she will also be responsible for ensuring that the patient has given consent to that form of anaesthesia.

3.26 Dental Care


Where routine dental care is being provided with adjunctive local anaesthesia the Department of Health form FP17DC is additionally used for other than Band 1 therapies (i.e. diagnosis and maintenance) to record the procedures agreed by the patient and use of local infiltration or routine regional block anaesthesia is implied. The agent used, route and dose is always recorded in the clinical records. Additional written consent is used for conscious Sedation using appropriate Department of Health model consent forms 1-4.

3.26.2 In addition, where general anaesthesia or conscious sedation is being provided as part of dental treatment, the General Dental Council currently holds dentists responsible for ensuring that the patient has all the necessary information. In such cases, the anaesthetist and dentist will therefore share that responsibility.

3.27 Consent to visual and audio recordings

3.27.1 Consent should be obtained for any visual or audio recording, including photographs or other visual images. Staff should refer to the ‘Management of Audiovisual Records Policy’ for further information.

3.27.2 The purpose and possible future use of the recording must be clearly explained to the person or parental guardian before their consent is sought for the recording to be made.

3.27.3 If it is to be used for teaching, peer review, audit or research, people must be aware that they can refuse without their care being compromised and that when required or appropriate it can be anonymised. The General Medical Council (GMC)\(^6\) should be referred to, by medical and non-medical practitioners, for detailed advice, including situations when permission is not required and about obtaining consent to use recordings as part of the assessment or treatment of patients and for training. See also Appendix 9.

---
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3.27.4 Recordings and consent forms need to be retained in compliance with the Department of Health’s NHS Code of Practice: Records Management - Part 2, which Solent Healthcare has adopted. Staff should also refer to the ‘Records Management & Lifecycle Policy’.

3.28 Termination of Pregnancy
3.28.1 The written consent of a patient whose pregnancy is to be terminated should always be obtained. The consent of the partner or putative father is not a legal requirement. Patient’s who are 16 years of age may consent to treatment. The Medical Defence Union has been advised that when a patient is under 16 years her parents should be consulted, unless the patient forbids the practitioner to do so. The parents' refusal should not, however, prevent a termination to which the patient herself consents and which is considered to be clinically necessary. Conversely, a termination should never be carried out in opposition to the patient’s wishes, even if the parents demand it.

3.28.2 If the patient cannot consent to a termination of pregnancy because of mental incapacity, the terms of the Abortion Act 1967 (as amended) should be complied with; otherwise a declaration from the court authorising the termination will be required.

3.29 Sterilisation
3.29.1 The advantages and disadvantages of this form of contraception should always be explained to the patient, who should, in particular, be advised that it may not be possible to reverse the operation and also that no guarantee can be given that the operation will be totally effective in preventing conception. Written consent of the patient must always be obtained but the consent of the spouse is not a legal requirement.

3.29.2 If the patient is mentally incapable of giving consent to sterilisation the court's approval should always be obtained before operating.

3.30 Clinical Research
3.30.16 Special problems arise with consent for clinical research (which includes consent for research associated with treatment and consent for research on healthy volunteers). Researchers are referred to Research Involving Patients (Royal College of Physicians). Advice is available from the Medical Protection Society and the Medical Defence Union. Expert advice on the wording of an appropriate consent form and the information to be given to the patient prior to arranging clinical research should always be sought. In any event, it should always be made clear to the patient that refusal to participate in clinical research will not adversely affect his or her care. Refer to the governance arrangements provided through the Service Level Agreement with the HIOW CLRN for Management and Governance, also referenced in paragraph 2.1.3.

3.31 Tissue
3.31.1 The legal position regarding the use of human tissue (including blood samples and other bodily fluids provided for testing) raises some difficult issues and is currently under review. Such tissue can be very valuable in education and research, and its use may lead to developments in medical knowledge and
hence improvements in healthcare for all. At present, this organisation requires that patients should be given the opportunity to refuse permission for tissue taken from them during surgery or other procedure to be used for education or research purposes. Individual departments must ensure that they have a system in place which makes provision for patients to record their consent or objection to the use of such tissue and for this to be notified to the laboratory. The system must be well-publicised and transparent. Patients must also be able to record any objections to particular uses or use of particular tissues.

3.31.2 Explicit consent is not necessary for public health surveillance using the unlinked anonymous method, but a well-publicised opt-out policy must apply. Contact the Southampton City PCT Director of Public Health for further information.

3.31.3 Pending the outcome of the review of the law governing the use of human organs and tissue, the Department of Health believes that tissue samples may be used for quality assurance purposes without requiring specific patient consent provided there is an active policy of informing patients of such use. This is essential to ensure the high quality of service, which all patients have the right to expect. Wherever possible, samples of tissue used in this way should be anonymised or pseudoanonymised. All services must ensure that they have a procedure for informing patients if this is likely to occur.


3.32 Emergencies
3.32.1 Clearly in emergencies, the two stages (discussion of options and confirmation that the patient wishes to go ahead) will follow straight on from each other, and it may often be appropriate to use the patient’s notes to document any discussion and the patient’s consent, rather than using a form. The urgency of the patient’s situation may limit the quantity of information that they can be given, but should not affect its quality.

3.33 Using Restraints
3.33.1 Restraint should only be used as a last resort and should be the minimum response necessary for the shortest possible time, to make the patient and others as safe as possible.

3.33.2 The Care Quality Commission (CQC) has produce a guide designed to help providers of health and adult social care to comply with the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 20097. Outcome 7; Safeguarding people who use services from abuse identifies what people who use the service must know. In situations where a patient lacks capacity the Mental

---
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Capacity Act 2005 has a very broad definition of what constitutes restraint and the Deprivation of liberty and Mental Capacity Act 2005 policy should be referred to.

3.33.3 In addition, the RCN has also recently published guidelines “Restrictive physical intervention and therapeutic holding for children and young people”\(^8\)

3.33.4 Only staff who have received appropriate training in restraint should be involved in restraining patients.

3.33.5 When young children or toddlers require restraint for procedures, e.g. immunisation, this should be undertaken by the parent/carer.

4 ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES

4.1 Solent Healthcare has a responsibility to:

- Ensure care is delivered in a context of continuous quality improvement, where implementation of the guideline is subject to regular feedback and audit.
- Solent Healthcare is responsible for providing all staff involved in the implementation of the guideline and the care of patients, with competency-based education and training in order to update their knowledge in relation to the appropriate use of consent in patient care.
- Ensure staffing levels and skill mix should reflect the needs of patients and priority should be given to the provision and allocation of resources in the management of patients.

4.2 Service Managers have a responsibility to:

- Ensure all healthcare staff including, allied health and social care professionals within the service are aware of this policy and that they have been offered training in the use of the policy.
- Ensure staff within the service are aware of the record keeping required.
- Comply with Solent Healthcare monitoring of this Policy.

4.3 Employees have a responsibility to:

- Be accountable for all aspects of their practice. All Registered Healthcare Professionals have a professional responsibility to maintain up to date evidence based care; this includes maintaining a working knowledge of their responsibilities in relation to the consent process\(^9\).
- Ensure staff are committed to maximising choice, control and inclusion for their patients.
- Recognise their personal responsibility in safeguarding people who use the services.
- Know how to identify report and respond appropriately to suspected or actual abuse because there are clear procedures that are followed in practice, monitored and reviewed.
- Ensure the patient is genuinely consenting to what is being done: it is they who will be held responsible in law if this is challenged later.

\(^8\) RCN. 2010. Restrictive physical intervention and therapeutic holding for children and young people. London
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• Discharge their duties in accordance with their role, level of expertise and the requirements of their professional body where applicable.
• Have evidence of regular updating. This must be demonstrated through informed evidence-based practice and documentation of attendance at relevant training.
• Ensure their approach to care is interdisciplinary, involving all those needed in the management of the patient.

4.4 Students and trainees
• It is particularly important that a person is aware of the situation when students or trainees carry out procedures to further their own education. Where the procedure will further the person’s care – for example taking a blood sample for testing – then, assuming the student/trainee is appropriately trained in the procedure, the fact that it is carried out by a student/trainee does not alter the nature and purpose of the procedure. It is therefore not a legal requirement to tell the person that the clinician is a student, although it would always be good practice to do so. In contrast, where a student/trainee proposes to conduct a physical examination that is not part of the person’s care then it is essential to explain that the purpose of the examination is to further the student’s training, and to seek consent for that to take place.

4.5 Responsibilities
4.5.1 The health professional undertaking the care, procedure, treatment or investigation is ultimately accountable for ensuring that the person has given valid consent before the intervention begins. It is they who will be held responsible in law if this is challenged later.

4.5.2 The task of seeking consent may be delegated to another person, as long as they are suitably trained and/or qualified. In particular, they must have sufficient knowledge of the proposed intervention and understand the risks involved, in order to be able to provide any information the patient may require. They must also be able to determine whether the person has the capacity to make the decision in question and what steps need to be taken if the person lacks capacity. Health professionals are responsible for knowing the limits of their own competence, and should seek the advice of appropriate colleagues when necessary.

4.5.3 Some services may have staff who obtain consent but who may not necessarily perform the procedure. In these instances a register of staff names should be kept.

4.5.4 Each SH service manager should, in conjunction with lead clinicians, clearly identify those procedures where written consent is required, or verbal consent is obtained and explicitly documented in the clinical records. They should ensure that clinicians performing procedures are aware of these requirements and where written consent is indicated, the forms that should be used. Forms must comply with recommended best practice.
5 TRAINING

5.1 Training for medical staff is available through Southampton University Hospitals Trust. General consent training for SH staff is available on request through our Learning and Development Team who can be contacted by email: LearningandDevelopment@solent.nhs.uk. In addition, internal training is available through Solent Healthcare’s Mental Capacity Act and Mental Health Act trainer.

5.2 Registered professionals would be expected to understand and inact the consent process in line with the requirements of their professional bodies.

6 EQUALITY & DIVERSITY

6.1 SH embraces and accepts its legal, social and moral responsibility in relation to Equality & Diversity. The Organisation is committed to delivering equality of opportunities for all service users, carers and staff and wider communities and to the elimination of ALL forms of discrimination.

There is no negative impact indicated in the Impact Assessment. Full details can be found in Appendix 12.

7. SUCCESS CRITERIA / MONITORING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE POLICY

7.1 Consent will be audited annually as part of the Audit Programme. Appendix 12 details the three audit tools and the guidance for their use. Audits will be carried out in the third quarter of each year with findings and action plans presented to, and then monitored by, the Clinical Effectiveness Group. When actions are completed, outcome documents will be sent to the Clinical Audit Manager to be reported to the Information Governance & Performance Committee.

7.2 In addition, the results of patient surveys, which will routinely ask patients about aspects of consent will also help provide an accurate representation of SH’s compliance to this policy as well as those standards set out by the NHSLA10.

8 REVIEW

8.1 This document may be reviewed at any time at the request of either staff side or management, but will automatically be reviewed after twelve months and thereafter on a bi-annual basis.
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9 REFERENCES

9.1 Guidance on consent

9.1.1 The Department of Health has issued a number of guidance documents on consent, and these should be consulted for advice on the current law and good practice requirements in seeking consent. Health professionals must also be aware of any guidance on consent issued by their own regulatory bodies.

9.1.2 Reference guide to consent for examination or treatment 2nd edition provides a comprehensive summary of the current law on consent, and includes requirements of regulatory bodies such as the General Medical Council where these are more stringent. Copies are available on the Internet at www.dh.gov.uk/consent.

9.1.3 Specific guidance, incorporating both the law and good practice advice, is available for health professionals working with children, with people with learning disabilities and with older people. Copies of these booklets are available on the Internet at www.dh.gov.uk/consent. Health professionals must also be aware of any guidance on consent issued by their own regulatory bodies, for example The Code: Standards of conduct, performance and ethics for nurses and midwives.

9.1.4 Twelve key points on consent: the law in England has been distributed widely to health professionals working in England. This one-page document summarises those aspects of the law on consent which arise on a daily basis and is attached at Appendix 2. Further copies are available from www.dh.gov.uk/consent.

References

- Deprivation of liberty safeguards - Code of Practice to supplement the main Mental Capacity Act 2005 Code of Practice. 2007. London, Stationery Office
- DoH. Model policy for consent to examination or treatment. 2009. London, Stationery Office

Disclaimer - Solent Healthcare is not responsible for the contents or reliability of the linked web sites and does not necessarily endorse the views expressed within them. Listing should not be taken as endorsement of any kind. We cannot guarantee that these links will work all of the time and we have no control over the availability of the linked pages.
9.2 Solent Healthcare Documents

- Advance Decisions to Refuse Treatment Policy.
- Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards and Mental Capacity Act Policy.
- Safeguarding Adults Policy
- Safeguarding Children Policy.
- Privacy, Dignity and Respect Policy.
- Management of Audiovisual Records Policy.
- Records Management & Lifecycle Policy
- Data Protection Act 1998, Caldicott & Confidentiality Policy & Procedures
Appendix 1

A lasting power of attorney is a legal document that lets a person appoint someone they trust as an ‘attorney’ to make decisions on their behalf. It can be drawn up at any time while they have capacity, but has **no legal standing until it is registered with the Office of the Public Guardian.**
A registered LPA can be used at any time, whether the person has the mental ability to act for themselves or not.
There are two types of LPA:

- Property and Affairs LPA
- Personal Welfare LPA

**Property and Affairs LPA**
A Property and Affairs LPA allows a person to choose someone to make decisions about how to spend their money and the way their property and affairs are managed.

**Personal Welfare LPA**
A Personal Welfare LPA allows a person to choose someone to make decisions about their healthcare and welfare. This includes decisions to refuse or consent to treatment on their behalf and deciding where they live. These decisions can only be taken on their behalf when the LPA is registered and they lack the capacity to make the decisions themselves.

**Access to Medical Records**
This change could affect many areas of healthcare and should also be taken into account when either a ‘Subject Access Request’ (an access request for the records of a living patient) under the Data Protection Act 1998 is received, or an Access to Health Records request under the Access to Health Records Act 1990 (an access request for the records of a deceased patient) is received.

**Enduring Power of Attorney (EPA)**
A person given power under an EPA before 1 October 2007 can still use it and apply to have it registered. This person has a duty to apply to register the EPA as soon as they believe that a person is becoming or has become mentally incapable of making financial decisions for themselves.
If a person has an unregistered EPA and still have the capacity to make decisions for themselves, they can make a Personal Welfare LPA to run alongside it.
For more information please contact:
Shelley Brown Head of Information Governance
shelley.brown@nhs.net
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12 key points on consent: the law in England

When do health professionals need consent from patients?

1. Before you examine, treat or care for competent adult patients you must obtain their consent.

2. Adults are always assumed to be competent unless demonstrated otherwise. If you have doubts about their competence, the question to ask is: “can this patient understand and weigh up the information needed to make this decision?” Unexpected decisions do not prove the patient is incompetent, but may indicate a need for further information or explanation.

3. Patients may be competent to make some health care decisions, even if they are not competent to make others.

4. Giving and obtaining consent is usually a process, not a one-off event. Patients can change their minds and withdraw consent at any time. If there is any doubt, you should always check that the patient still consents to your caring for or treating them.

Can children give consent for themselves?

5. Before examining, treating or caring for a child, you must also seek consent. Young people aged 16 and 17 are presumed to have the competence to give consent for themselves. Younger children who understand fully what is involved in the proposed procedure can also give consent (although their parents will ideally be involved). In other cases, some-one with parental responsibility must give consent on the child’s behalf, unless they cannot be reached in an emergency. If a competent child consents to treatment, a parent cannot over-ride that consent. Legally, a parent can consent if a competent child refuses, but it is likely that taking such a serious step will be rare.

Who is the right person to seek consent?

6. It is always best for the person actually treating the patient to seek the patient’s consent. However, you may seek consent on behalf of colleagues if you are capable of performing the procedure in question, or if you have been specially trained to seek consent for that procedure.

What information should be provided?

7. Patients need sufficient information before they can decide whether to give their consent: for example information about the benefits and risks of the proposed treatment, and alternative treatments. If the patient is not offered as much information as they reasonably need to make their decision, and in a form they can understand, their consent may not be valid.

8. Consent must be given voluntarily: not under any form of duress or undue influence from health professionals, family or friends.
Does it matter how the patient gives consent?

9. No: consent can be written, oral or non-verbal. A signature on a consent form does not itself prove the consent is valid – the point of the form is to record the patient’s decision, and also increasingly the discussions that have taken place.

Refusal of treatment

10. Competent adult patients are entitled to refuse treatment, even when it would clearly benefit their health. The only exception to this rule is where the treatment is for a mental disorder and the patient is detained under the Mental Health Act 1983. A competent pregnant woman may refuse any treatment, even if this would be detrimental to the foetus.

Adults who are not competent to give consent

11. **No-one** can give consent on behalf of an incompetent adult. However, you may still treat such a patient if the treatment would be in their best interests. ‘Best interests’ go wider than best medical interests, to include factors such as the wishes and beliefs of the patient when competent, their current wishes, their general well-being and their spiritual and religious welfare. People close to the patient may be able to give you information on some of these factors. Where the patient has never been competent, relatives, carers and friends may be best placed to advise on the patient’s needs and preferences.

12. If an incompetent patient has clearly indicated in the past, while competent, that they would refuse treatment in certain circumstances (an ‘advance refusal’), and those circumstances arise, you must abide by that refusal.

This summary cannot cover all situations. For more detail, consult the Reference guide to consent for examination or treatment, available from the NHS Response Line 08701 555 455 and at www.doh.gov.uk/consent.
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Current forms in use in this organisation

For significant procedures, it is essential for health professionals to document clearly both a patient’s agreement to the intervention and the discussions, which led up to that agreement. This may be done either through the use of a consent form (with further detail in the patient’s notes if necessary), or through documenting in the patient’s notes that they have given oral consent.

Individual services within SH may hold guidelines when written consent is appropriate for some specified procedures. Individual practitioners should discuss this with their service line manager to be aware of these.

Relevant sections of the forms (such as those dealing with benefits and risks) may be pre-printed where high through-put specialties make this feasible and desirable. If this is done, it will, of course, always be necessary for health professionals to consider whether additional risk/benefit information should be added by hand, to reflect the particular needs of the individual patient. It is essential, however, to ensure that this does not lead to a ‘conveyor belt’ approach to consent in these circumstances.

The following forms in their original layout can also be accessed on the DH website www.dh.gov.uk/consent.

- Consent Form 1. Patient consent to investigation or treatment
- Consent Form 2. Parental agreement to investigation or treatment for a child or young person
- Consent Form 3. Patient/Parental consent to investigation or treatment (procedures where consciousness not impaired)
- Consent Form 4. For adults who are unable to consent to investigation or treatment
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Consent Form 1. Patient consent to investigation or treatment

To be retained in patient’s notes

**Patient details (or pre-printed patient identifier label)**
- Patient’s surname/family name
- Patient’s first names
- Date of birth
- Responsible health professional
- Job title
- NHS number (or other identifier)
- Male
- Female
- Special requirements

**Name of proposed procedure or course of treatment** (include brief explanation if medical term not clear)

**Statement of health professional (to be filled in by health professional with appropriate knowledge of proposed procedure, as specified in consent policy)**

I have explained the procedure to the patient. In particular, I have explained:
- The intended benefits
- “significant, unavoidable or frequently occurring risks”
- Any extra procedures which may become necessary during the procedure
- □ blood transfusion
- □ other procedure (please specify)

I have also discussed what the procedure is likely to involve, the benefits and risks of any available alternative treatments (including no treatment) and any particular concerns of this patient.

□ The following leaflet/tape has been provided

This procedure will involve:
- □ general and/or regional anaesthesia
- □ local anaesthesia
- □ sedation

Signed: ........................................ Date .. ....................

Name (PRINT) .............................................. Job title .................

**Contact details** (if patient wishes to discuss options later)

**Statement of interpreter** (where appropriate)

I have interpreted the information above to the patient to the best of my ability and in a way in which I believe s/he can understand.

Signed ........................................ Date ........................................

Name (PRINT) ........................................

**Top copy accepted by patient: yes/no** (please ring)
Statement of patient

Please read this form carefully. If your treatment has been planned in advance, you should already have your own copy of the first page of this consent form which describes the benefits and risks of the proposed treatment. If not, you will be offered a copy now. If you have any further questions, do ask – we are here to help you. You have the right to change your mind at any time, including after you have signed this form.

I agree to the procedure or course of treatment described on this form.

I understand that you cannot give me a guarantee that a particular person will perform the procedure. The person will, however, have appropriate experience.

I understand that I will have the opportunity to discuss the details of anaesthesia with an anaesthetist before the procedure, unless the urgency of my situation prevents this. (This only applies to patients having general or regional anaesthesia.)

I understand that any procedure in addition to those described on this form will only be carried out if it is necessary to save my life or to prevent serious harm to my health.

I have been told about additional procedures which may become necessary during my treatment. I have listed below any procedures which I do not wish to be carried out without further discussion.

…………………………………………………………………………
………………………..

Patient’s signature ………………………… Date…………………………
Name (PRINT) …………………………………………………………………..

A witness should sign below if the patient is unable to sign but has indicated his or her consent. Young people/children may also like a parent to sign here (see notes).

Signature ………………………………….. Date ……………
Name (PRINT) ……………………………………………………………………..

Confirmation of consent (to be completed by a health professional when the patient is admitted for the procedure, if the patient has signed the form in advance)

On behalf of the team treating the patient, I have confirmed with the patient that s/he has no further questions and wishes the procedure to go ahead.

Signed:…………………………………… Date .. …………………
Name (PRINT) ……………………….. Job title ………

Important notes: (tick if applicable)

☐ See also advance directive/living will (eg Jehovah’s Witness form)

☐ Patient has withdrawn consent (ask patient to sign /date here) …………..
Guidance to health professionals (to be read in conjunction with consent policy)

Consent Form 1
What a consent form is for
This form documents the patient’s agreement to go ahead with the investigation or treatment you have proposed. It is not a legal waiver – if patients, for example, do not receive enough information on which to base their decision, then the consent may not be valid, even though the form has been signed. Patients are also entitled to change their mind after signing the form, if they retain capacity to do so. The form should act as an aide-memoire to health professionals and patients, by providing a check-list of the kind of information patients should be offered, and by enabling the patient to have a written record of the main points discussed. In no way, however, should the written information provided for the patient be regarded as a substitute for face-to-face discussions with the patient.

The law on consent
See the Department of Health’s Reference guide to consent for examination or treatment for a comprehensive summary of the law on consent (also available at www.dh.gov.uk/consent).

Who can give consent
Everyone aged 16 or more is presumed to be competent to give consent for themselves, unless the opposite is demonstrated. If a child under the age of 16 has “sufficient understanding and intelligence to enable him or her to understand fully what is proposed”, then he or she will be competent to give consent for himself or herself. Young people aged 16 and 17, and legally ‘competent’ younger children, may therefore sign this form for themselves, but may like a parent to countersign as well. If the child is not able to give consent for himself or herself, some-one with parental responsibility may do so on their behalf and a separate form is available for this purpose. Even where a child is able to give consent for himself or herself, you should always involve those with parental responsibility in the child’s care, unless the child specifically asks you not to do so. If a patient is mentally competent to give consent but is physically unable to sign a form, you should complete this form as usual, and ask an independent witness to confirm that the patient has given consent orally or non-verbally.

When NOT to use this form
If the patient is 18 or over and lacks the capacity to give consent, you should use form 4 (form for adults who lack the capacity to consent to investigation or treatment) instead of this form.

A patient lacks capacity if they have an impairment of the mind or brain or disturbance affecting the way their mind or brain works and they cannot:
• understand information about the decision to be made
• retain that information in their mind
• use or weigh that information as part of the decision-making process, or
• communicate their decision (by talking, using sign language or any other means).

You should always take all reasonable steps (for example involving more specialist colleagues) to support a patient in making their own decision, before concluding that they are unable to do so.

Relatives cannot be asked to sign a form on behalf of an adult who lacks capacity to consent for themselves, unless they have been given the authority to so under a Lasting Power of Attorney or as a court appointed deputy.

Information
Information about what the treatment will involve, its benefits and risks (including side-effects and complications) and the alternatives to the particular procedure proposed, is crucial for patients when making up their minds. The courts have stated that patients should be told about ‘significant risks which would affect the judgement of a reasonable patient’. ‘Significant’
has not been legally defined, but the GMC requires doctors to tell patients about ‘serious or frequently occurring’ risks. In addition if patients make clear they have particular concerns about certain kinds of risk, you should make sure they are informed about these risks, even if they are very small or rare. You should always answer questions honestly. Sometimes, patients may make it clear that they do not want to have any information about the options, but want you to decide on their behalf. In such circumstances, you should do your best to ensure that the patient receives at least very basic information about what is proposed. Where information is refused, you should document this on the first page of the form or in the patient’s notes.
Appendix 5

Consent Form 2. Parent (Or Person Who Has Parental Responsibility) agreement to investigation or treatment for a child or young person

To be retained in patient’s notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient details (or pre-printed patient identifier label)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s surname/family name..................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s first names ............................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth ......................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible health professional ..................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job title .....................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS number (or other identifier) ..................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male □ Female □ ......................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special requirements .................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(eg other language/other communication method) .............</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name of proposed procedure or course of treatment (include brief explanation if medical term not clear) .................................................................

Statement of health professional (to be filled in by health professional with appropriate knowledge of proposed procedure, as specified in consent policy)
I have explained the procedure to the patient. In particular, I have explained:
The intended benefits

significant, unavoidable or frequently occurring risks” ........................................

Any extra procedures which may become necessary during the procedure
□ blood transfusion ..............................................................................................
□ other procedure (please specify) ........................................................................

I have also discussed what the procedure is likely to involve, the benefits and risks of any available alternative treatments (including no treatment) and any particular concerns of this patient.
□ The following leaflet/tape has been provided ..................................................

This procedure will involve:
□ general and/or regional anaesthesia □ local anaesthesia □ sedation

Signed: .......................................................... Date ..................................
Name (PRINT) .................................................. Job title ......................

Contact details (if patient wishes to discuss options later) ...........................................

Statement of interpreter (where appropriate)
I have interpreted the information above to the patient to the best of my ability and in a way in which I believe s/he can understand.
Signed .................................................. Date ..................................
Name (PRINT) ...............................................................
Statement of parent

Please read this form carefully. If the procedure has been planned in advance, you should already have your own copy of the previous page which describes the benefits and risks of the proposed treatment. If not, you will be offered a copy now. If you have any further questions, do ask – we are here to help you and your child. You have the right to change your mind at any time, including after you have signed this form.

I agree to the procedure or course of treatment described on this form and I confirm that I have ‘parental responsibility’ for this child.

I understand that you cannot give me a guarantee that a particular person will perform the procedure. The person will, however, have appropriate experience.

I understand that my child and I will have the opportunity to discuss the details of anaesthesia with an anaesthetist before the procedure, unless the urgency of the situation prevents this. (This only applies to children having general or regional anaesthesia.)

I understand that any procedure in addition to those described on this form will only be carried out if it is necessary to save the life of my child or to prevent serious harm to his or her health.

I have been told about additional procedures which may become necessary during my child’s treatment. I have listed below any procedures which I do not wish to be carried out without further discussion.

Signature .......................... Date..........................

Name (PRINT) ...................... Relationship to child....................

Child’s agreement to treatment (if child wishes to sign)
I agree to have the treatment I have been told about.

Name ........................................ Signature ......................

Date ..................

Confirmation of consent (to be completed by a health professional when the child is admitted for the procedure, if the parent/child have signed the form in advance)

On behalf of the team treating the patient, I have confirmed with the child and his or her parent(s) that they have no further questions and wish the procedure to go ahead.

Signed:........................................ Date ..................

Name (PRINT) ......................... Job title ...............

Important notes: (tick if applicable)

☐ See also advance directive/living will (eg Jehovah’s Witness form)
☐ Parent has withdrawn consent (ask parent to sign /date here) ..........................
Guidance to health professionals (to be read in conjunction with consent policy)

Consent Form 2
This form should be used to document consent to a child’s treatment, where that consent is being given by a person with parental responsibility for the child. The term ‘parent’ has been used in this form as a shorthand for ‘person with parental responsibility’. Where children are legally competent to consent for themselves (see below), they may sign the standard ‘adult’ consent form (form 1). There is space on that form for a parent to countersign if a competent child wishes them to do so.

Who can give consent
Everyone aged 16 or more is presumed to be competent to give consent for themselves, unless the opposite is demonstrated. The courts have stated that if a child under the age of 16 has “sufficient understanding and intelligence to enable him or her to understand fully what is proposed”, then he or she will be competent to give consent for himself or herself. If children are not able to give consent for themselves, someone with parental responsibility may do so on their behalf.

Although children acquire rights to give consent for themselves as they grow older, people with ‘parental responsibility’ for a child retain the right to give consent on the child’s behalf until the child reaches the age of 18. Therefore, for a number of years, both the child and a person with parental responsibility have the right to give consent to the child’s treatment.

As a matter of good practice you should always seek a competent child’s consent before providing treatment unless any delay involved in doing so would put the child’s life or health at risk. Younger children should also be as involved as possible in decisions about their healthcare. Further advice is given in the Department’s guidance Seeking consent: working with children. Any differences of opinion between the child and their parents, or between parents, should be clearly documented in the patient’s notes.

“Where a young person of 16 or 17 or a Gillick competent child under 16, refuses treatment, it is possible that such a refusal could be over-ruled if it would in all probability lead to the death of the child or to severe permanent injury. It would be prudent, to obtain a court declaration or decision if faced with a competent child or young person who is refusing to consent to treatment, to determine whether it is lawful to treat the child.”

Parental responsibility
The person(s) with parental responsibility will usually, but not invariably, be the child’s birth parents. Parental responsibility for a child is dependant on the child’s date of birth. For children born after the 1 December 2003, both parents will have parental responsibility provided that they are named on the birth certificate. For children born before this date, the child’s father would only automatically have parental responsibility if he was married to the mother. Otherwise he would acquire parental responsibility through a Parental Responsibility Agreement with the mother or a Parental Responsibility Order through the courts. A married step parent or civil partner may also obtain parental responsibility in this way. If the parents divorce, both parents retain parental responsibility for the child.

If the child is subject to a Care Order, the Local Authority has parental responsibility which is shared with the parents. Parental Responsibility may be delegated to others e.g. authorising schools to give treatment for minor ailments. In an emergency a person without parental responsibility (e.g. a grand parent) may do ‘what is reasonable in all the circumstances of the case for the purpose of safeguarding or promoting the child’s welfare’.

Information
Information about what the treatment will involve, its benefits and risks (including side-effects and complications) and the alternatives to the particular procedure proposed, is crucial for children and their parents when making up their minds about treatment. The courts have
stated that patients should be told about ‘significant risks which would affect the judgement of a reasonable patient’. ‘Significant’ has not been legally defined, but the GMC requires doctors to tell patients about ‘serious or frequently occurring’ risks. In addition if patients make clear they have particular concerns about certain kinds of risk, you should make sure they are informed about these risks, even if they are very small or rare. You should always answer questions honestly.

Guidance on the law on consent
See the Department of Health publications Reference guide to consent for examination or treatment and Seeking consent: working with children for a comprehensive summary of the law on consent (also available at www.dh.gov.uk/consent).
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Consent Form 3. Patient/Parental consent to investigation or treatment
(procedures where consciousness not impaired)

To be retained in patient’s notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient details (or pre-printed patient identifier label)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s surname/family name ..................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s first names ..............................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth ..........................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible health professional .................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job title .......................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS number (or other identifier) .................................................</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Male  ☐ Female

Special requirements .................................................................
(eg other language/other communication method)

Name of procedure (include brief explanation if medical term not clear)

Statement of health professional (to be filled in by health professional with appropriate knowledge of proposed procedure, as specified in consent policy)

I have explained the procedure to the patient/parent. In particular, I have explained:

- The intended benefits .................................................................
- Significant, unavoidable or frequently occurring risks”.................................................................
- I have also discussed what the procedure is likely to involve, the benefits and risks of any available alternative treatments (including no treatment) and any particular concerns of those involved.

☐ The following leaflet/tape has been provided ..................................................

Signed: ........................................ Date ...........................................
Name (PRINT) ........................................ Job title ........................................

Statement of interpreter (where appropriate)

I have interpreted the information above to the patient/parent to the best of my ability and in a way in which I believe s/he/they can understand.

Signed ........................................Date........... Name (PRINT)...........

Statement of patient/person with parental responsibility for patient

I agree to the procedure described above.
I understand that you cannot give me a guarantee that a particular person will perform the procedure. The person will, however, have appropriate experience.
I understand that the procedure will/will not involve local anaesthesia.

Signature ........................................ Date ........................................
Name (PRINT) ........................................ Relationship to patient .............

Confirmation of consent (to be completed by a health professional when the patient is admitted for the procedure, if the patient/parent has signed the form in advance)

I have confirmed that the patient/parent has no further questions and wishes the procedure to go ahead.

Signed: ........................................ Date ........................................
Name (PRINT) ........................................ Job title ........................................

Copy accepted by patient/parent: yes/no (please ring)

Policy for Consent
Guidance to health professionals (to be read in conjunction with consent policy)

Consent Form 3
This form documents the patient’s agreement (or that of a person with parental responsibility for the patient) to go ahead with the investigation or treatment you have proposed. It is only designed for procedures where the patient is expected to remain alert throughout and where an anaesthetist is not involved in their care: for example for drug therapy where written consent is deemed appropriate. In other circumstances you should use either form 1 (for adults/competent children) or form 2 (parental consent for children/young people) as appropriate.
Consent forms are not legal waivers – if patients, for example, do not receive enough information on which to base their decision, then the consent may not be valid, even though the form has been signed. Patients also have every right to change their mind after signing the form.

Who can give consent
Everyone aged 16 or more is presumed to be competent to give consent for themselves, unless the opposite is demonstrated. If a child under the age of 16 has “sufficient understanding and intelligence to enable him or her to understand fully what is proposed", then he or she will be competent to give consent for himself or herself. Young people aged 16 and 17, and legally ‘competent’ younger children, may therefore sign this form for themselves, if they wish. If the child is not able to give consent for himself or herself, someone with parental responsibility may do so on their behalf. Even where a child is able to give consent for himself or herself, you should always involve those with parental responsibility in the child’s care, unless the child specifically asks you not to do so. If a patient is mentally competent to give consent but is physically unable to sign a form, you should complete this form as usual, and ask an independent witness to confirm that the patient has given consent orally or non-verbally.

When NOT to use this form (see also ‘This form’ above)
If the patient is 18 or over and is not legally competent to give consent, you should use form 4 (form for adults who are unable to consent to investigation or treatment) instead of this form. A patient will not be legally competent to give consent if: they are unable to comprehend and retain information material to the decision and/or they are unable to weigh and use this information in coming to a decision. You should always take all reasonable steps (for example involving more specialist colleagues) to support a patient in making their own decision, before concluding that they are unable to do so. Relatives cannot be asked to sign this form on behalf of an adult who is not legally competent to consent for himself or herself.

Information
Information about what the treatment will involve, its benefits and risks (including side-effects and complications) and the alternatives to the particular procedure proposed, is crucial for patients when making up their minds about treatment. The courts have stated that patients should be told about ‘significant risks which would affect the judgement of a reasonable patient’. ‘Significant’ has not been legally defined, but the GMC requires doctors to tell patients about ‘serious or frequently occurring’ risks. In addition if patients make clear they have particular concerns about certain kinds of risk, you should make sure they are informed about these risks, even if they are very small or rare. You should always answer questions honestly. Sometimes, patients may make it clear that they do not want to have any information about the options, but want you to decide on their behalf. In such circumstances, you should do your best to ensure that the patient receives at least very basic information about what is proposed. Where information is refused, you should document this overleaf or in the patient’s notes.

The law on consent
See the Department of Health’s Reference guide to consent for examination or treatment for a comprehensive summary of the law on consent (also available at www.dh.gov.uk/consent).
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Consent Form 4. Form for adults who lack the capacity to consent to investigation or treatment’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient details (or pre-printed patient identifier label)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s surname/family name....................................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s first names ....................................................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth ....................................................................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible health professional.......................................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job title ............................................................................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS number (or other identifier)......................................................................................................................</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Male ☐ Female

Special requirements ............................................................................................................................................

All sections to be completed by health professional proposing the procedure

A Details of procedure or course of treatment proposed..............................................................................

........................................................................................................................................................................

(NB see guidance to health professionals overleaf for details of situations where court approval must first be sought)

“B Assessment of patient’s capacity (in accordance with the Mental Capacity Act)
I confirm that the patient lacks capacity to give or withhold consent to this procedure or course of treatment because of an impairment of the mind or brain or disturbance affecting the way their mind or brain works (for example, a disability, condition or trauma, or the effect of drugs or alcohol) and they cannot do one or more of the following:

- understand information about the procedure or course of treatment
- retain that information in their mind
- use or weigh that information as part of the decision-making process, or
- communicate their decision (by talking, using sign language or any other means)

Further details: for example how above judgements reached; which colleagues consulted; what attempts made to assist the patient make his or her own decision and why these were not successful.”

........................................................................................................................................................................

“C Assessment of patient’s best interests
I am satisfied that the patient has not refused this procedure in a valid advance decision. As far as is reasonably possible, I have considered the person’s past and present wishes and feelings (in particular if they have been written down) any beliefs and values that would be likely to influence the decision in question. As far as possible, I have consulted other people (those involved in caring for the patient, interested in their welfare or the patient has said should be consulted) as appropriate. I have considered the patient’s best interests in accordance with the requirements of the Mental Capacity Act and believe the procedure to be in their best interests because:……..

........................................................................................................................................................................

(Where incapacity is likely to be temporary, for example if patient unconscious, or where patient has fluctuating capacity)
The treatment cannot wait until the patient recovers capacity because:.................................
D Involvement of the patient’s family and others close to the patient
The final responsibility, unless the person has a Court of Protection appointed Deputy or an attorney, for determining whether a procedure is in an incapacitated patient’s best interests lies with the health professional performing the procedure. However, it is good practice to consult with those close to the patient (eg spouse/partner, family and friends, carer, supporter or advocate) unless you have good reason to believe that the patient would not have wished particular individuals to be consulted, or unless the urgency of their situation prevents this. “Best interests” go far wider than “best medical interests”, and include factors such as the patient’s wishes and beliefs when competent, their current wishes, their general well-being and their spiritual and religious welfare.

(to be signed by a person or persons close to the patient, if they wish)

I/We have been involved in a discussion with the relevant health professionals over the treatment of…………………………………………………(patient’s name).

I/We understand that he/she is unable to give his/her own consent, based on the criteria set out in this form. I/We also understand that treatment can lawfully be provided if it is in his/her best interests to receive it.

Any other comments (including any concerns about decision)
………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

Name ..................................................Relationship to patient .........................

Address (if not the same as patient)……………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

Signature ............................................ Date..............................

If a person close to the patient was not available in person, has this matter been discussed in any other way (eg over the telephone?)
☐ Yes ☐ No

Details: .................................................................................................................................
………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

“Independent Mental Capacity Advocate (IMCA)
For decisions about serious medical treatment, where there is no one appropriate to consult other than paid staff, has an Independent Mental Capacity Advocate (IMCA) been instructed?

Yes No

Details:
Signature ............................... Date..............................
E The patient has an attorney or deputy
Where the patient has authorised an attorney to make decisions about the procedure in question under a Lasting Power of Attorney or a Court Appointed Deputy has been authorised to make decisions about the procedure in question, the attorney or deputy will have the final responsibility for determining whether a procedure is in the patient’s best interests.

I have been authorised to make decisions about the procedure in question under a Lasting Power of Attorney / as a Court Appointed Deputy (delete as appropriate). I have considered the relevant circumstances relating to the decision in question (see section C) and believe the procedure to be in the patient’s best interests.
Any other comments (including the circumstances considered in assessing the patient’s best interests)

Signature:………………………………………………

Signature of health professional proposing treatment
The above procedure is, in my clinical judgement, in the best interests of the patient, who lacks capacity to consent for himself or herself. Where possible and appropriate I have discussed the patient’s condition with those close to him or her, and taken their knowledge of the patient’s views and beliefs into account in determining his or her best interests.

I have/have not sought a second opinion.

Signature:……………………………………………… Date .. ………………………………….
Name (PRINT) ……………………………….. Job title ……… ……………………………..

Where second opinion sought, s/he should sign below to confirm agreement:

Signature:……………………………………………… Date .. ………………………………….
Name (PRINT) ……………………………….. Job title ……… …………………………….
“Guidance to health professionals
This form should only be used where it would be usual to seek written consent but an adult patient (16 or over) lacks capacity to give or withhold consent to treatment. If an adult has capacity to accept or refuse treatment, you should use the standard consent form and respect any refusal. Where treatment is very urgent (for example if the patient is critically ill), it may not be feasible to fill in a form at the time, but you should document your clinical decisions appropriately afterwards. If treatment is being provided under the authority of Part IV of the Mental Health Act 1983, different legal provisions apply and you are required to fill in more specialised forms (although in some circumstances you may find it helpful to use this form as well). If the adult now lacks capacity, but has made a valid advance decision to refuse treatment that is applicable to the proposed treatment then you must abide by that refusal. For further information on the law on consent, see the Department of Health’s Reference guide to consent for examination or treatment (www.dh.gov.uk/consent).

When treatment can be given to a patient who lacks the capacity to consent
All decisions made on behalf of a patient who lacks capacity must be made in accordance with the Mental Capacity Act 2005. More information about the Act is given in the Code of Practice. Treatment can be given to a patient who is unable to consent, only if:
• the patient lacks the capacity to give or withhold consent to this procedure AND
• the procedure is in the patient’s best interests.

Capacity
A person lacks capacity if they have an impairment or disturbance (for example, a disability, condition or trauma, or the effect of drugs or alcohol) that affects the way their mind or brain works which means that they are unable to make a specific decision at the time it needs to be made. It does not matter if the impairment or disturbance is permanent or temporary. A person is unable to make a decision if they cannot do one or more of the following things:
• Understand the information given to them that is relevant to the decision.
• Retain that information long enough to be able to make the decision.
• Use or weigh up the information as part of the decision-making process.
• Communicate their decision - this could be by talking or using sign language and includes simple muscle movements such as blinking an eye or squeezing a hand.

You must take all steps reasonable in the circumstances to assist the patient in taking their own decisions. This may involve explaining what is involved in very simple language, using pictures and communication and decision-aids as appropriate. People close to the patient (spouse/partner, family, friends and carers) may often be able to help, as may specialist colleagues such as speech and language therapists or learning disability teams, and independent advocates (as distinct from an IMCA as set out below) or supporters. Sometimes it may be necessary for a formal assessment to be carried out by a suitably qualified professional.

Capacity is ‘decision-specific’: a patient may lack capacity to take a particular complex decision, but be able to take other more straightforward decisions or parts of decisions. Capacity can also fluctuate over time and you should consider whether the person is likely to regain capacity and if so whether the decision can wait until they regain capacity.

Best interests
The Mental Capacity Act requires that a health professional must consider all the relevant circumstances relating to the decision in question, including, as far as possible considering:
• the person’s past and present wishes and feelings (in particular if they have been written down)
• any beliefs and values (e.g. religious, cultural or moral) that would be likely to influence the decision in question and any other relevant factors
• the other factors that the person would be likely to consider if they were able to do so.

When determining what is in a person’s best interests” a health professional must not make assumptions about someone’s best interests merely on the basis of the person’s age or appearance, condition or any aspect of their behaviour. If the decision concerns the provision or withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment the health professional must not be motivated by a desire to bring about the person’s death.
The Act also requires that, as far as possible, health professionals must consult other people, if it is appropriate to do so, and take into account of their views as to what would be in the best interests of the person lacking capacity, especially anyone previously named by the person lacking capacity as someone to be consulted and anyone engaging in caring for patient and their family and friends.

**Independent Mental Capacity Advocate (IMCA)**
The Mental Capacity Act introduced a duty on the NHS to instruct an independent mental capacity advocate (IMCA) in serious medical treatment decisions when a person who lacks capacity to make a decision has no one who can speak for them, other than paid staff. IMCAs are not decision makers for the person who lacks capacity. They are there to support and represent that person and to ensure that decision making for people who lack capacity is done appropriately and in accordance with the Act.

**Lasting Power of Attorney and Court Appointed Deputy**
A person over the age of 18 can appoint an attorney to look after their health and welfare decisions, if they lack the capacity to make such decisions in the future. Under a Lasting Power of Attorney (LPA) the attorney can make decisions that are as valid as those made by the person themselves. The LPA may specify limits to the attorney’s authority and the LPA must specify whether or not the attorney has the authority to make decisions about life-sustaining treatment. The attorney can only, therefore, make decisions as authorised in the LPA and must make decisions in the person’s best interests.

The Court of Protection can appoint a deputy to make decisions on behalf of a person who lacks capacity. Deputies for personal welfare decisions will only be required in the most difficult cases where important and necessary actions cannot be carried out without the court’s authority or where there is no other way of settling the matter in the best interests of the person who lacks capacity. If a deputy has been appointed to make treatment decisions on behalf of a person who lacks capacity then it is the deputy rather than the health professional who makes the treatment decision and the deputy must make decisions in the patient’s best interests.

**Second opinions and court involvement**
Where treatment is complex and/or people close to the patient express doubts about the proposed treatment, a second opinion should be sought, unless the urgency of the patient’s condition prevents this. The Court of Protection deals with serious decisions affecting personal welfare matters, including healthcare, which were previously dealt with by the High Court. Cases involving:
- decisions about the proposed withholding or withdrawal of artificial nutrition and hydration (ANH) from patients in a permanent vegetative state (PVS)
- cases involving organ, bone marrow or peripheral blood stem cell (PBSC) donation by an adult who lacks capacity to consent
- cases involving the proposed non-therapeutic sterilisation of a person who lacks capacity to consent to this (e.g. for contraceptive purposes) and
- all other cases where there is a doubt or dispute about whether a particular treatment will be in a person’s best interests (include cases involving ethical dilemmas in untested areas) should be referred to the Court for approval. The Court can be asked to make a decision in cases where there are doubts about the patient’s capacity and also about the validity or applicability of an advance decision to refuse treatment.
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How to seek a court declaration

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) requires that organisations identify people who lack, or are thought to lack, mental capacity in order that special measures can be employed to assist them in making decisions. The Act aims to establish a legal framework for decision making for people over 16 years of age who lack capacity to make particular decisions. Only those who lack, or are reasonably believed to lack capacity to make specific decisions is covered by the Act’s provisions.

If there is no consensus on whether a particular treatment is in the best interests of an incapacitated adult, if the consequences of having or not having the treatment are potentially serious, a court declaration may be sought.

In Southampton Healthcare the first requirement is for the relevant Associate Director to be informed or the on-call senior manager if the situation arises out of office hours. They will make the necessary contacts with the Organisation's solicitors.

Further information can be obtained from the Office of the Public Guardian www.publicguardian.gov.uk (Tel: 0845 330 2900).
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For further national information useful contact details are detailed below:

Department of Health  
www.dh.gov.uk/consent

Provide information, guidance and advice for patients and professionals.

Patient Concern  
PO Box 23732,  
London SW5 9FY  
Phone/ fax: 020 7373 0794  
Email: patientconcern@hotmail.com  
Website: www.patientconcern.org.uk

Provides patient leaflets and a patient advisory service specialising in consent-related issues; campaigns for patient choice and empowerment.

Consumers for Ethics in Research (CERES)

Address: P. O. Box 1365,  
London N16 0BW

Email: info@ceres.org.uk  
Website: www.ceres.org.uk

Produces leaflets Medical research and you and Genetic research and you for people considering taking part in medical research.

Solent Healthcare Patient Experience Service

Tel: 023 8029 6929

Provide information, advice and support to help patients, families and their carers.
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Seeking consent: remembering the patient’s perspective

- What do they think is wrong with me?
- What treatment might help?
- Can I drive/work/look after my family afterwards?
- How would it help me?
- Will I have to stay in hospital? How long for?
- What would it involve?
- What are the risks and benefits of the alternatives?
- Will it hurt?
- Are there any alternatives?
- What about the risks?
### Step 1 – Scoping; identify the policies aims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. What are the main aims and objectives of the policy?</td>
<td>The aims of the policy include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i. The main aim is to set out the standards and procedures required by SH to ensure that health professions comply with the law and good practice requirements in relation to consent to examination and treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Promote good care management of individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iii. Ensure involvement and consultation with the patient and relatives/carers as appropriate, at all stages of the consent process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Who will be affected by it?</td>
<td>1. Patients who utilise SH services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Staff directly and indirectly employed staff within Southampton Community Healthcare (SH) and other persons working within the organisation in line with Southampton City PCTs Equal Opportunities Policy. SH is the provider arm of NHS Southampton City (Southampton City Primary Care Trust).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. What are the existing performance indicators/measures for this? What are the outcomes you want to achieve?</td>
<td>The standards set out by the NHSLA(^\text{12}) form the basis of what needs to be measured in this policy. The organisation has already contributed to the development of clear protocols with relevant health and social care partners within other audits, such as recording keeping and patient surveys. Standards for Better Health Core Standard 13b The healthcare organisation monitors and reviews current practices to ensure effective consent processes SH conducts a rolling programme of surveys across all services, the survey is on the website and they have 3 permanent kiosks in key locations that runs the survey continuously. Results of the surveys are monitored through the Integrated Governance and Performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. What information do you already have on the equality impact of this policy?  
None at present – Comments from the recent South Coast Audit. December 2009. State “The PCT are placing reliance on the 2009/10 SCH wide audit on the consent process to demonstrate that compliance with the standard has been met. As the SH patient consent process audit has not yet taken place we are unable to form an opinion on the validity of the evidence that SH will place reliance on when making their declaration”.

5. Are there demographic changes or trends locally to be considered?  
Not at present

6. What other information do you need?  
None

---

**Step 2 - Assessing the Impact; consider the data and research**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Answer (Evidence)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Could the policy unlawfully against any group?</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Can any group benefit or be excluded?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Can any group be denied fair &amp; equal access to or treatment as a result of this policy?</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Can this actively promote good relations with and between different groups?</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Have you carried out any consultation internally/externally with relevant individual groups?</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Policy Steering Groups Feedback has been received from a number of representatives in the organisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Have you used a variety of different methods of consultation/involvement?</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If there is no negative impact – end the Impact Assessment here. Assessment ended

**Step 3 - Recommendations and Action Plans**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is the impact low, medium or high?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. What action/modification needs to be taken to minimise or eliminate the negative impact?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are there likely to be different outcomes with any modifications? Explain these?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 4- Implementation, Monitoring and Review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
1. What are the implementation and monitoring arrangements, including timescales?

2. Who within the Department/Team will be responsible for monitoring and regular review of the policy?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How will the results of this assessment be published and where? (It is essential that there is documented evidence of why decisions were made).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consent Audit Guidance

The audit has attempted to be broad enough to cover all areas of practice within Solent Healthcare and focused enough to still be meaningful; consequently local teams will need to interpret it in line with the work they undertake and any local protocols or practice. I am happy to support with this as needed and relate it to the national guidance for the area of your work.

One reason the audit is needed is to ensure that as an organisation we do not translate mental capacity as being only the business of mental health services. Many non MH services will have patients were mental capacity to consent to the treatment is an issue, either temporarily (for example due to confusion, being unconscious, effects of medication or intoxication etc...) or more permanently; likewise, with children and non-child services. It is important that the proper processes are followed as mental capacity and children’s legislation and policy makes clear.

Forwarding details of the audit to your essential standards owner with examples of information shared with patients, any local protocols or guidance will give good evidence for outcome 2.

Where the term ‘treatment plan’ is used this refers to one off treatments as well as courses of treatments.

For teams that have large caseloads the sample size will not give conclusive evidence that the processes are followed in all cases but will give some evidence of processes being in place or not and some examples of this occurring. Extending the audit, where possible areas of concern are identified, could be an action plan. Below is further guidance in relation to Part 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Is there valid consent to the current treatment plan? This will have been recorded in a way appropriate to the treatment: ranging from written consent to implied consent. It is valid if</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) the person had capacity,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) they were not under undue influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) the way it was recorded was appropriate to the type of intervention. What is appropriate should comply with Solent Health care Consent policy, national guidance and local processes and protocols for that area of work. The auditor will need to make a judgement and may also wish to give their reasons for the judgement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. When written consent is applicable the appropriate consent form from the Trust Policy has been filed in the patient record.
3. If there is a course of treatment is there evidence of the treatment being reviewed. This includes the person’s consent to the treatment being reviewed. As above the consent can range from being implied to written.

4. Is there sufficient information in an appropriate form for the patient to make an informed decision on consent to treatment. This is badly worded and should read, 'Is there evidence the patient has been given sufficient information in an appropriate form…’ What is sufficient will depend on the intervention. Whether it is in an appropriate form will depend on the communication needs of the patient. It can include written, verbal or other means of communication and should be sensitive to those whose first language is not English. It should comply with Solent Health care Consent policy, national guidance and local processes and protocols for these issues. The auditor will need to make a judgement and may also wish to give their reasons for the judgement.

5. If a patient has refused consent to treatment has this been documented? This can mean a patient who has refused a recommended course of treatment, a patient who has refused a recommended course but agreed to another or a patient who has started a course of treatment and then informed the professional they have stopped it. It is aimed at one of the outcomes in outcome 2: 'respecting and taking account of a decision by the person who uses the service to refuse or withdraw consent' (part of 2A)

It also covers a part of 2B, which is about respecting decisions people make about their care.

This question will not show when these have occurred but not been recorded, nor when a patient has not followed a treatment plan and not communicated this to the professional. However, it will give some evidence of cases where a patient’s choices are being recorded and respected. Your service may have already collected this evidence in a way more appropriate to the service; if this is the case then please attach the evidence as opposed to answering this question.
Quarter Three – Consent and Mental Capacity Act Audit

Objectives
This audit is developed from the requirements for all services regarding consent; these incorporate the requirements of the Mental Capacity Act and the Children’s Act. For services where a person is treated under the Mental Health Act this should be recorded in the exceptions column.

This audit, with an attached action plan, will form robust evidence towards meeting the requirements of Outcome 2 of the CQC Essential standards; it should be used as such. If the performance accelerator owner for the service submits this with, relevant local protocols, training statistics and 1 or 2 anonymous cases it is likely to demonstrate that most components of the outcome are met: of course, depending on the outcome of the audit and the quality of the evidence submitted.

Richard Murphy is the lead for the Mental Capacity Act across Solent healthcare and the sponsor for Outcome 2 in the East. Please contact him if you have any questions. He can be contacted on 02392683307 or richard.murphy@solent.nhs.uk

Part 1 refers to consent; Part 2 & 3 refers to MCA and Children’s Act

Consent and MCA Audit for Adult services complete parts 1 & 2
For Children’s complete part 3
**Part 1**  
**Select 10 sets of records per team**  
Is there evidence of the following regarding consent documents in patient records?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consent</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there valid consent to the current treatment plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. When written consent is applicable the appropriate consent form from the Trust Policy has been filled in the patient record</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If there is a course of treatment is there evidence of the treatment being reviewed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is there sufficient information in an appropriate form for the patient to make an informed decision on consent to treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. If a patient has refused consent to treatment has this been documented</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Part 2 Mental Capacity Act

On the day you undertake the audit select 5 sets of records where the patient lacks mental capacity to consent to treatment plan. If you are unable to identify 5 sets of records then identify as close to 5 as possible

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consent</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there documentary evidence of capacity assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Is there evidence that the treatment plan has been decided in line with the statutory best interest process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Is there evidence of any valid and appropriate advance Decisions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a Was this followed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is there evidence of an applicable last power of Attorney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part 3 – Children’s Act
Select 10 sets of records per form
Is there the following?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consent</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Evidence that the child’s ability to consent has been considered.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Information regarding the treatment has been presented</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in appropriate form for the age and understanding of the child</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Where the child is able to consent this has been documented properly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Where a child is unable to consent there is evidence that a person</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with parental responsibility has given consent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>